UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,821	03/28/2006	Kazuaki Okuno	47259-5001-00 US	9193
	7590 05/22/200 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE SUITE 1100		SWOPE, SHERIDAN		
	N, DC 20005-1209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/573,821	OKUNO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHERIDAN SWOPE	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a pplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to the property of the	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receiv Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/573,821

Art Unit: 1652

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

Claims 1-35 are pending.

If Applicants wish to receive full benefit of their claim to priority to JP 20030342183, filed September 30, 2003, an English-language translation or equivalent thereof should be filed.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, Claims 1-11 and 31-33, drawn to a method for cleaving a polypeptide having an OmpT cleavage site using a wild-type OmpT.

Group II, Claims 12-30, 34, and 35, drawn to a method for cleaving a polypeptide using a variant OmpT.

For each of Inventions I and II above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore, election is required of one of Inventions I and II <u>and</u> one or more of the following inventions, as indicated.

If Group I is elected, elect one specific OmpT cleavage site listed in Claims 1-11 and 31-33.

If Group II is elected, elect one specific OmpT variant listed in Claims 12-30, 34, and 35. If Group II is elected, elect one specific OmpT cleavage site listed in Claims 12-30, 34, and 35.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: The technical feature linking Groups I and II appears to be that they all relate to methods for cleaving proteins using OmpT protease. However, methods for cleaving proteins using OmpT protease were well known in the art. Moreover, Okuno et al, 2002 (IDS) teach cleavage of the peptide RLELYK RHHG (Table 3), which anticipates Claim 1. Therefore Groups I and II share no special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Furthermore, the methods of Groups I and II do not use the same reagents or produce the same results. Accordingly, Groups I and II are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Art Unit: 1652

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action lack unity of invention for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Payment of fees for examination of additional inventions may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, as shown by their different classification. Furthermore, as explained above, searching more than one invention would be a burden on the Office. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes, as indicated, is proper. If Applicants should traverse the instant restriction based on an argument that the inventions or sub-inventions are not distinct, they should provide evidence as to why the skilled artisan would find any restricted inventions or sub-inventions obvious over their elected invention and sub-invention.

To insure that each document is properly filed in the electronic file wrapper, it is requested that each of amendments to the specification, amendments to the claims, Applicants' remarks, requests for extension of time, and any other distinct papers be submitted on separate pages.

It is also requested that Applicants identify support, within the original application, for any amendments to the claims and specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan L. Swope whose telephone number is 571-272-0943. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 9:30-7 EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,821

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Nashed can be reached on 571-272-0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

/SHERIDAN SWOPE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652